

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,119	02/04/2002	Robert D. Taylor	AAI-14138	5984
7:	590 09/26/2003			
James D. Erickson Autoliv ASP, Inc.			EXAMINER	
3350 Airport Road			FELTON, AILEEN BAKER	
Ogden, UT 84	405		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/067,119

Applicant(s)

Taylor et al

Examiner

Aileen Felton

Art Unit 3641

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CER 1.136 (c). In record the provisions of 18 CER 1.136 (c).					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
- Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the application to become ABANDONED (25 H.S.C. 5 122)			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 28, 2				
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This ac	ction is non-final.			
	closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-24, 27, and 28</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) 1-13, 15-24, and 28				
	Claim(s)				
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers	·			
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:				
_	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.				
	application from the international Brite	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
a) □	The state of the s				
a) \sqcup The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachme		priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.			
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) 🗌 Noti	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/067,119 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Claims 14 and 27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species and group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13, 15-24, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnes et al(6,132,480) in view of Johnson et al(6,136,114).

Barnes et al discloses an igniter composition used in inflatable devices such as vehicle occupant restraint devices which are in a housing and act to ignite a gas generant composition which inflates the air bag(see col. 1). The igniter composition comprises 10-25 % boron, 55-80 % of an oxidizer such as KNO₃, and 10-25 % of an organic gas-producing fuel such as guanidine nitrate. Barnes et al does not specifically suggest the use of the igniter in a micro-gas generator.

Johnson et al teaches a micro gas generator that contains an igniter composition.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,119 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the igniter composition of Barnes in a micro-gas generator because Barnes suggests that it can be used to ignite a gas generant and also because Johnson et al teaches the use of igniter compositions with a micro gas generator.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/28/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Barnes et al does not disclose the stoichiometric balance that is claimed and also less than 10 % of boron. The composition of Barnes et al must have the same stoichiometric balance as the instant invention because the amounts and ingredients are the exact same as the instant invention. Thus the stoichiometric balance would be an inherent property of the Barnes composition. As to limitations which are considered to be inherent in a reference, note the case law of *In re Ludke*, 169 USPQ 563; *In re Swinehart*, 169 USPQ 226, *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594; *In re Best et al*, 195 USPQ 430; and *In re Brown*, 173 USPQ 685, 688. Regarding the amount of boron, in col. 3, line 28, the Barnes patent recites "about 10 %", which indicates that some range below 10 % is acceptable. Also note that one of ordinary skill in the art would know to vary the parameters of the igniter to achieve a desired result. It is well-settled that optimizing a result effective variable is well within the expected ability of a person of ordinary skill in the subject art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980), *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

Application/Control Number: 10/067,119 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aileen Felton whose telephone number is (703) 306-5751. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687. The fax number for submissions before a final action is (703) 872-9326, for after final submissions is (703) 872-9327, and customer service is (703) 872-9325.

Art Unit: 3641

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

SUPERVISORY DUTE OF ENGLISHER